



# **NORTH PARK PLANNING COMMITTEE**

[northparkplanning.org](http://northparkplanning.org)

## **Public Facilities and Transportation Subcommittee**

**Draft Minutes: Wednesday, July 12, 2017 – 6:00 p.m.**

**North Park Recreation Center / Adult Center, 2719 Howard Avenue**

### **I. Parliamentary Items (6:00 p.m.)**

- a) Call to Order: Bonn, Callen (Co-Chair)(left 6:45) , Hill, Ferrier (left 6:50), Vidales (Co-Chair), Carlson (6:08), Campbell, Gebreselassie (6:10)
- b) Agenda: No Modifications, Callen / Vidales 6-0-0
- c) Approval of Minutes, June 14: Bonn / Ferrier 6-0-0

### **II. Non Agenda Public Comment:**

- a) The city's Parking Advisory Board passed a plan for angle parking unanimously.

### **III. Action Items**

#### **A. Montclair Park Pedestrian Bridge Project**

Request to be added to the Capital Improvement Program (CIP) Prioritization List. The project was presented as an information item at the May 11, 2016 PF/T Meeting. Robert Barry, North Park resident and former NPPC member.

Presentation : Robert Barry – Intro

Concept: connectivity to McKinley School & Montclair Park: ped and bike bridge

Various improvements (handout)

Use ROW Quince St. – reduce Boundary traffic

Implement NPCPU mobility plan goals and policies

Feedback: lack of notice, canyon impacts, noise, homeless etc.

Explanation: Boundary traffic heavy

Move fwd as related to comm. Plan – questions moved forward to city.

Vidales: surveyed audience pro & con .

In Support:

- Mauricio Ahumada – original sponsor
- Jennifer – mckinley side homeowner, currently drive to park, too far to walk back
- Juanita Freatu – support to get people activity etc.

- Opposed:
- Jason ... - where's the data to show usage?
- Laurie – Quince St. – liked idea until did research – problems with Spruce St. bridge
- N... - will be impacted by foot traffic – how many ppl in support of bridge w/in
- Victor – on Boundary – who responsible for maintenance?
- James Feathers – Vancouver – mixed: needs to be secured at night – height of bridge & suicides
- Surrea? – near eastside entrance on Montclair – Spruce St. bridge problems –
- Brian Gaines – shares concerns – is bridge even needed? Nature path an option?
- Alan Graves – Montclair – same questions & concerns
- Russell – Quince – homeless, drug use, trash, signage obstructing canyon,
- Sss? width –
- Marion – Quince – never needed bridge – parking
- Cindy – Vancouver – neighborhood watch captain – problems now
- Marki – Redwood – many homeowners not aware
- Mark - ... want more walking – will divert maint money – assessments?

Robert – answer questions – all issues exist without bridge – want something to benefit students – full EIR will happen and cover all issues – City will maintain like other infra – get sandag to pay construction – City will rely on sdpd for safety recommendation. Noticing will happen with City review. Get questions vetted through City, not Robert, etc. Bridge will improve safety / traffic dangerous now.

#### Board comments

DG – City will review issues –

EB – involved with VT st bridge – have had transients on bridge – overall positive response.

RS – not enough comments on realities – needs fair hearing

DC – NPCPU encourages connectivity – full ceqa process – nature path?

DCampbell – ppl need more time to talk – don't see need – proponents need to study more – not for CIP now.

RV – Only gets put on City list – big issue: 3 property corners opposed

PH no comment

KF – consistent with NPCPU, brings benefits, concerns can be worked out, lives on canyon self.

#### Robert answers

Nature trail more impact – not allow wheelchairs, strollers, can't bike

Plan B ? 1 asking reccom support. 2 asking to move to city

Campbell – why motion? Needs support to

Carlson: motion : proposed project is consistent with mobility goals of NPCP and SDGP.
--

Discussion:

Interruption – disruption – shouting .

Back to Carlson: above motion.

Carlson: reminder about public process, Robert’s Rules, like any City board, Brown Act

Ferrier: sugg table topic to put with CIP

Amendment: need further discussion for the city to study potential impacts brought up by the community.

Carlson / Ferrier 8-0-1

Discussion of amendment.

Vidales – will go to full board Tues 7/18.

Audience question: when notice about this? Vidales – this is before city noticing project

Carlson – explained communication

Callen – don’t need official city forum to get together as neighbors – don’t need official forum.

## **B. Capital Improvement Program (CIP) – Prioritization of Projects**

Community

Vidales – overview of CIP list handed out. Process – took high priorities from CP – list on page 2 has overlap with CP from some old projects (?). Some new projects received, not listed  
Need to decide: move fwd with previous projects.

Start with first 18.

Ferrier question: goal? Better descriptions, summarize.

Carlson: prioritize? No – won’t matter to city.

Ferrier: city asked for ranking

Campbell: kind of

Vidales: will aim for top 20

Discussion: CIP / city process / uncertainty.

Discussion: traffic choker on Madison – what happened (Bonn)

Carlson: keep separate from CIP; agendize for future subcomm

Projects: (Vidales expln first)

1) Howard Blackson project – WBS – I explained MAD part of it

Carlson – important to include for possible funding

Campbell – city said any

(off topic: funding of projects / priorities)

2) Cycle track on 30<sup>th</sup> – supported by 3 BID/s – Carlson: Adams Ave wavering in support, concerned about delivery trucks. Carlson / Barry: need to address nature of bike lane, impact on parking. Discussion – how to add / revise to improve, show bike and ped safety improvements.

EDIT: Multimodal enhancements to 30<sup>th</sup> St. corridor to improve bike and pedestrian safety, including protected bike lane and pedestrian improvements, that connects the three major commercial corridors of North Park.

3) EDIT. : add to end: “To connect community gathering places, ie. Bird Park and transit node at 30<sup>th</sup> and Upas.”

4) OK AS IS

- 5) Disc: mobility plan prioritizes missing sidewalks, not damaged sidewalks (item requests repairs) . Barry: prioritize installation. Carlson: move to strike. REMOVE
  - 6) Carlson: completed – road diet done – REMOVE
  - 7) EDIT: put description of CP #8 (pg 2) in. Discussion. Add 7a Adult Center & 7b Rec Center. Lynn Elliott asked for Rec Center window replacement. Add 7c Window replacement at Rec Center.
  - 8) EDIT: “improve facilities for families to recreate safely.”
  - 9) EDIT: 9a: “including bike safety improvements’. 9b: also Thorn & Boundary. 9c. also 30<sup>th</sup> & Dwight
  - 10) EDIT: “including bike safety improvements’
  - 11) Discussion. Lynn Elliott will give better description to Rene.
  - 12) EDIT Discussion. Carlson sugg scratch 13 & 14 since incl with 12.
  - 15) EDIT Split Park and Streetscape into two items. Discussion. Barry: also storm drain split. A. Park B. Streetscape Rene will write description.
  - 16) EDIT Disc re Uptown support / activity. Want to talk about what will happen to old library / land. Carlson & Rene will work on description.
  - 17) EDIT: too long. Carlson & Rene & Robert will do ad hoc revision.
  - 18) EDIT: Barry: needs to say roundabout. Use community plan language. Disc poss edits. Rene has notes.
  - 19) EDIT: add “install bike safe bulbouts”
  - 23) REMOVE. outside of planning area.
  - 30)EDIT: add specific locations
  - 42) REMOVE
  - 9) EDIT: add support
  - 11) EDIT: add support.
- Remainder: already discussed above.

Closing discussion – next steps –

Ad hoc comm.: Dionne, Robert Barry, Rene

## **V. Unfinished, New Business & Future Agenda Items**

## **VI. Adjournment (8:00 pm)**